IMPLEMENTING A NEW VENDOR ASSURANCE SYSTEM

by Martin Lush

WHAT WE FOUND

➢ Purchasing can place orders from unapproved suppliers
➢ QA is not aware of changes until the consignment arrives
➢ Audit of suppliers revealed considerable quality risks, or required additional checks and controls
➢ Unapproved materials are used in formulations, meaning 20 percent of products are held in quarantine pending QA
➢ Current process poses quality and financial risks to the company

WHAT WE LEFT AFTER NSF SIMPLIFICATION

➢ Installed an end-to-end process for introducing new suppliers (pending, approved and certified), aligned to GMP and business needs
➢ Built relationships and awareness of role across QA, planning, operations and purchasing; recognizing shared success against mutually agreed objectives
➢ No products held in quarantine pending QA due to vendor-related issues

STEPS TAKEN

➢ Generate a swim lane diagram showing process flow, utilizing inputs from all stakeholders
➢ Analyze the swim lane diagram to identify gaps, overlaps, complexity and ambiguity
➢ Analyze the outputs to ensure the process is made parallel where possible, not linear
➢ Triage risk to allow resource to focus on high-risk changes
➢ Modify the process flow chart accordingly and road test on a real or model new supplier
➢ Update and simplify SOPs to include flow charts, indicators, clear job roles and expectations; all stakeholders review and “buy in” to the changes. Take time to educate stakeholders on the “know why” not just the “know how”
➢ Implement the SOPs and implement an effectiveness check on the first three supplier changes and then quarterly for the next 18 months

TOOLS USED

➢ Process flow charting
➢ Model plans, checklists and routing/gateway charts
➢ Project management taking account of all perspectives/drivers from each stakeholder in the business
➢ Rapid decision making to exclude high-risk, unengaged suppliers quickly before investing too much in advance
RETURN ON INVESTMENT

> Investment was 45 staff days from start to end

> Inventory and working capital was reduced by £400k, also negating the need for additional rented warehouse space

> QA batch release lead times of batches associated with supplier changes were reduced by over ten weeks

> Utilizing clearly defined gateways to certified status, the cost of incoming QC testing of materials from the best suppliers was reduced by >80 percent and cut time waiting for QC by no less than ten days

> No high-risk, unengaged suppliers are used in the supply chain, leading to fewer quality investigations, fewer supplier audits and less reliance on oversight or a person in plant

BEHAVIORS CHANGED

> Quality group seen as facilitating the business, not restricting it

> Holistic return on investment to be defined for every change; changes based on value, not cost

> More teamwork and less decision making in silos

KEY MESSAGE

Buy together, save together.
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